Kinematic analysis of Balantak Fault using fault-slip data in the Balantak area, Banggai Regency, Central Sulawesi

Ferdi Endinanda

Institute of Technology, Bandung, Indonesia (present address: RHUL, Egham, UK)

 # Corresponding author: ferdiendinanda@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Balantak is one of the sub-districts in Banggai Regency, Central Sulawesi Province. The research area is along the Balantak Strike-Slip Fault. This study presents geological mapping with focus on the deformation style that occurred within the area. The study provides an analogue of strike-slip structural trap types in convergent setting to support oil and gas field development. The research method was conducted using field observation and kinematic analysis of fault-slip data. Lithology in the study area that is part of the Banggai-Sula microplate has the characteristics of sedimentary rocks that are grainstone intercalating calcareous sandstone and rudstone consisting of limestone fragments. While part of the Sulawesi East Arm has crystalline rocks in the form of ultramafic-mafic rocks such as peridotite, serpentinite, gabbro and basalt. Structural analysis along the strike-slip fault indicates the collision of Banggai-Sula with Sulawesi East Arm on the side part of the micro-plate generates thrust fold belt along with well-developed uniform tearing faults present. The orientation and shape of the strain ellipsoid is pure shear transpression with the Balantak Fault as its plane of movement. The characteristic of the structure pattern complying with the model shows that the type of structures is en echelon thrusts and folds while the tearing faults are Riedel synthetics of the Balantak dextral Strike-Slip Fault that developed offset on the fold structures.

Keywords: *strike-slip, kinematic analysis, transpression.*

Copyright ©2022. FOSI. All rights reserved.

Manuscript received: 13 Nov 2021, revised manuscript received: 18 May 2022, final acceptance: 9 Jun 2022.

DOI: 10.51835/bsed.2022.48.1.337

INTRODUCTION

The tectonics of eastern Indonesia is influenced by the convergence of and interactions between Eurasia, Indian-Australia and the Pacific-Philippines plates. The tectonic record from these plates interactions can be found for example in the eastern flank of Sulawesi, where collision started from late Cenozoic. The eastern flank of Sulawesi also has petroleum potential as its petroleum system is proven and significant gas reserve has been produced in the Senoro area. The Balantak area (Figure 1) is located towards the east from Senoro gas field and is considered as the side part of Banggai-Sula micro-plate where strike-slip setting occurs. The study area is interesting for investigation because it provides potential structural trap analogues for future hydrocarbon exploration in the region. This paper presents geological structures observation along the Balantak Strike-Slip Fault Area including its kinematic analysis with fault-slip data.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Regional Geology

Sulawesi is located at the junction of three plates where the Pacific-Philippine and Indian-Australian plates are subducted under the Eurasian plate. As a result of this convergence, Sulawesi is composed of many fragments originating from these main plates. They include metamorphic complexes, volcanic arcs, ophiolite and micro-continental fragments and are all grouped generally into several major tectonic units (Katili, 1978; Hamilton, 1979; Taylor and van Leeuwen, 1980; Sukamto and Simandjuntak, 1983). The area of interest is the convergence between Banggai-Sula micro-continent and the East Arm of Sulawesi (Figure 2).



Figure 1: *Boloak Village administrative map and the study area, which is highlighted in yellow box.*



Figure 2: *Main Tectonic Groups of Sulawesi (Pholbud et al., 2012).*

According to Hall (2012) and Pholbud et al. (2012) the relationship between these different tectonic units and the tectonic development of Sulawesi is initiated by metamorphic complexes located in the west and center of Sulawesi formed by accretion of fragments of the Australian continental to Sundaland in the Middle Cretaceous. During the Early Eocene, the western part of Sulawesi separated away from Borneo to form the Makassar Strait, which coincides with the spreading of the oceanic plate in the Sulawesi Sea. In the Middle Eocene to Late Oligocene there was the formation of the Volcanic Arc in the north as a result of the subduction of the Indian Ocean into the North Arm. In the Early Miocene, the East Sulawesi Ophiolite was uplifted by north-west trending obduction during Sula's collision with the North Arm. The Central Sulawesi Metamorphic Belt includes metamorphic rocks associated with ophiolite, the Sundaland plate boundary, and the Banggai-Sula Block. Regional extension from Sulawesi to the east began in the Middle Miocene, associated with rollback subduction into the Banda. There has been magmatic activity in West Sulawesi, probably mostly related to the extensional regime, since the Middle Miocene produced high-K and calc-alkaline acid igneous rocks. To the south the subduction of the Celebes Sea under the North Arm is interpreted to have started around 5 Ma. Rollback subduction in the subduction zone of North Sulawesi started a new phase of extension in North Sulawesi which is active until now (Figure 3).



Figure 3: *Tectonic plates reconstruction of South East Asia (Hall, 2012)*

Other supporting studies shows that the Banggai-Sula microcontinent has been interpreted to have split up from Australia in the Mesozoic (Hamilton, 1979; Pigram et al., 1985; Garrard et al., 1988) which then collided with the East Arm of Sulawesi in Middle the Miocene (Simandjuntak, 1986), Middle Miocene to Pliocene (Garrard et al., 1988), Late Miocene (Hamilton, 1979), end of Miocene (Davies, 1990), and conclusively at the Neogene period from Early Miocene (Nugraha and Hall, 2018).

Batui Thrust Fault and the Poh Thrust Fault extends from the face of the thrust fault on the East Arm to the Balantak Fault continues offshore to the south then turns to north into the Moluccas Sea. The Batui Fault that stretches to the east considered to be the contact between the ophiolite and the microcontinent (Silver et al., 1983). Lots of previous research that considers the Sula Thrust Fault is considered a continuation of the Sorong Fault which is a fault stretching far to the east from New Guinea (Hamilton, 1979; Silver 1981; Silver et al., 1983; Garrard et al., 1988) (Figure 4). But after reinterpretation by Ferdian et al. (2010), Rudyawan and Hall (2012), the Sula Fault is the Banggai-Sula boundary with Maluku Sea and not the connection of the Sorong Fault because it has a different position and there is no evidence of continuity of the two faults. The Greyhound Strait Fault described by Silver, et al. (1983) as a steep fault with a northwest direction stretches about 350 km from Banggai and Taliabu Island, across the Gorontalo Bay, to the North Sulawesi arm where Katili



Figure 4: *Structural interpretation by Hamilton (1979) and Silver et al. (1983). Red triangles are active volcanoes and red square is Banggai-Sula Microcontinent.*

(1973) identified the Gorontalo Fault trending northwest (Figure 5).

The Balantak Fault is often represented as a continuation of the fault in the Poh Head area. Interpretation by Simandjuntak, (1989); Cottam, et al. (2011) suggest that the fault is precisely a right-lateral strike-slip fault, supported by field observations on land further to the west. The age of the fault is still unclear, but it occurred in the Pliocene to Recent because the fault probably



Figure 5: *Structural map and the study area (Ferdian et al., 2010).*

cut the Pliocene Volcanoclastic at Poh Head. Although the fault zone is very clearly visible on the seabed, it is not certain whether the fault is active or not. From the earthquake data under Poh Head from Global CMT (2009), it is considered to be a parallel fault surface with the Balantak Fault that shows a right-lateral strike-slip movement in the data within 14 km and a thrust fault movement with a right-lateral strike-slip component at a depth of 12 km which is almost continuous along the entire length of the 54 km from Balantak Sub-district to Teluk Poh in the West which is estimated to continue up to 30 km offshore (Figure 6).

Further studies also shows that Balantak Fault has been considered as part of the Batui Thrust Fault system (Silver et al., 1983), but the outcrop is very straight, from field observations (Simandjuntak, 1986) and changes in the direction of strike between uplift and local subsidence that indicates the fault has a steep plane with possible shear movement. Kinematic observation supports and is compatible with dextral shear sense. One of the zones in the quarter subsidence describes the termination system of the Balantak Fault off the coast to the east of Poh Head consisting of a left segment separated by folds and thrust faults. The contraction between the main left-stepping segment, which appears to be an antithetic sinistral fault with the orientation of the fold and the thrust fault is entirely kinematically compatible with the dextral strike-slip along the Balantak Fault (Watkinson et al., 2011).

REGIONAL STRATIGRAPHY

Stratigraphy that is used as reference by the author is geological map Luwuk sheet, Sulawesi by Rusmana et al. (1993), Hasanusi et al. (2012), and



Figure 6: *DEM (SRTM) of East Arm of Sulawesi and bathymetry Banggai-Sula Island, earthquake data CMT with depth <35 km and structure that shows geomorphic proof Quarter tectonic activity (Watkinson and Hall, 2016).*



Figure 7: *(a) East Sulawesi Ophiolite column (ESO) in nine different location that is based on field investigation. (b) The reconstruction of the ophiolite series (Kadarusman et al., 2004).*

Garrard et al. (1998). Regionally, the Luwuk sheet at the study area has two main units that is Salodik Formation that consists of limestone with sandstones and Mafic Complex that consist of gabbro, basalt, serpentinite, phyllite, and schist.

Most of the Mafic Complex is found on the northern part of the study area, along the northern mountain range. The complex consists of harsburgit, dunite, pyroxenite, serpentinite, gabbro, diabase, basalt, and diorite. Schist, amphibolite, phyllite and metamorphozed gabbro are also present locally and suspected as part of an oceanic crust (Rusmana et al., 1993). According to Kadarusman et al. (2004), the Mafic Complex is a series of ophiolite rocks that compose the oceanic crust that is tectonically dismembered and spread from the centre and eastern part of Sulawesi.

This ophiolite from bottom to the top consist of residual mantle peridotite and mafic-ultramafic accumulation from layered to isotropic gabbro, sheeted dolerites and volcanic basalt rocks (Figure 7). Ophiolite complex in Sulawesi indicates formation and displacement of various plate tectonics and considered very related with the triple junction plate phenomenon of Eurasia, Indo-Australian, and Pacific that is complex along the Late Mesozoic – Early Tertiary (Hutchison, 1975; Hamilton, 1979). The age of these rocks range between Middle Cretaceous until Late Oligocene, widely known as Balantak Ophiolite and considered to be part of the Eastern Sulawesi Ophiolite Belt that is far more extensive (Simandjuntak, 1986; Mubroto et al., 1994; Kadarusman et al., 2004).

Salodik Formation is widely spread on the Eastern Arm Sulawesi and locally in Banggai Island, Peleng Island, Mangole Island and a couple of surrounding small islands. Research is conducted by Sihombing et al., (2011) that divided this limestone unit into two parts: lower part that consist of grainstone-rudstone that is rich with Nummulites fossil, whereas the upper part consists of mudstone, wackestone, packstone and grainstone. The thickness of Salodik Formation is estimated to be around 1000 to 1200 meters.

According to Garrard et al. (1998), and Hasanusi, et al., (2007) the Salodik is a group that is divided into three parts that is Tomori Formation, Matindok Formation and Minahaki Formation. Tomori Formation is aged Eocene to Early Miocene, consist of reef that is underlain by clastic sediments gradually to the upper part of it and covered by limestone. Matindok Formation is aged Middle Miocene that consist of clastic sediments with lignite interbedding. Minahaki Formation is aged Late Miocene and consist of carbonate shelf deposition (Figure 8).

Husein et al. (2014) proposed on dividing the formation to three facies that is Nummulitic Grainstone-Rudstone that is deposited in Early Eocene to Late Eocene, grainstone with interbedding calcareous sandstone that contains smaller Nummulitic fossil, and rudstone with interbedding reefal limestone that is aged Early Eocene to Middle Miocene which these facies are characterized as framestone and a couple of bindstone that consists of coral, mudstone algae, and grainstone that has Nummulitic fossil.



Figure 8: *Regional Stratigraphy Luwuk-Banggai Basin (Garrard et al., 1998; Hasanusi et al., 2007).*

Surono et al. (1994), Rusmana et al. (1994), and Husein et al. (2014) analyzed fossils in Salodik Formation that are *Proporocyclina*, *Numulites* sp., *Marginospora* sp., *Amphistegina* sp., *Operculina* sp., *Lepidocyclina* sp., *Fasciolites* sp., *Cycloclypeus* sp., *Alveolinella* sp., *Sorites* sp., *Rotalia* sp., *Flosculinella* sp., *Brizolina* sp., *Planulina* sp., *Heterostegina* sp., *Miogypsina* sp., *Globorotalia menardii*, and *Orbulina universa*. This indicates the depositional environment was shallow waters on the fore-reef shelf. Surono and Sukarna (1993) conducted biostratigraphy analysis and bring up the age of Salodik Formation to be Early Miocene to Middle Miocene. Rusmana et al. (1994) on the other hand proposed a different age that is Eocene to Late Miocene while Husein et al. (2014) conclude the age is Early Eocene to Middle Miocene.

Based on data taken in the field and results from laboratory analysis, the stratigraphy of the study area consists of four unofficial rock units from the oldest to the youngest, starting with Cretaceous Ultramafic Units formed in the oceanic crust environment, Limestone Unit 1 that consist of grainstone intercalating calcareous sandstone which the age is around Middle Miocene with a backreef depositional environment and nearshore-inner neritic forereef shelf, Limestone Unit 2 that consist of rudstone with limestone fragments which the is Late Miocene age with a backreef depositional environment and outer neritic foreref shelf, and Alluvial Plains Unit of Recent age, which was deposited in a terrestrial environment.

DATA AND METHODS

Field Structural Geology

The geological structure of the study area consists of faults and folds. The fault structure found in the study area is the strike-slip fault, blind thrusts with repetition of sedimentary rock strata and tear faults that offsets the thrust fold belts. The folded structure found in the study area is in the form of synclines and anticlines from different directions of the bedding planes (Figure 9).

The formation of geological structures in the study area is influenced by the collision between the Banggai Sula microcontinent and the Eurasian continent in the Ophiolite section of the East Arm of Sulawesi in the Pliocene (Hall, 2012).

In the study area, the outcrops have a bedding with a NW-SE strike directions and there are also variations in the inclination of the bedding (Figure 10). The closer to the thrust, the steeper the inclination of the bedding on the hanging wall, while the inclination at the footwall and the crest of the anticline is gentle. This is interpreted as a fault propagation fold with blind thrusts which causes changes in the stance and inclination of rock strata in the study area.

The fold structures in the research area that can be mapped well are the anticline which is commonly found in the field and synclines. The syncline fold structure in the Rau area is interpreted based on the trellis river pattern on the Kiloma River and the Balantak River. This is supported by



Figure 9: *Block Diagram of the study area showing the geological structures from field observation, the colours show the lithology units.*

the difference in the position of the rocks in the two rivers with opposite inclination direction. Limestone in the Balantak River has a bedding inclination towards the Southeast (Figure 11a). Meanwhile, the Kiloma River has a rock layer slope to the Northwest (Figure 11b).

Figure 10: *The inclination of the NE-SW strike bedding in Limestone Unit 1 caused by deformation. Yellow lines show the lithology units.*

The anticline fold structure in the Boloak area is interpreted based on the pattern of the trellis river and there is a lot of anticlinal repetition from north to south. This is



Figure 11: *Bedding inclination in Limestone Unit 1 a) Balantak River and b) Kiloma River*

supported by differences of the inclination direction of the rocks in the rivers of the Boloak area. The Limestone Unit 1 in the Boloak River on the left of the river has a northward bedding (Figure 12a). While the right side of the river has a slope of rock layers to the south (Figure 12b).

Structures found on the sedimentary rocks from field observations are considered as blind thrust faults (Figure 13) with tear faults, folds, and repetitions of rock layers which is commonly interpreted as thrust fold belts.

The fault structure in the study area is interpreted to occur in one deformation stage. The deformation that occurs is convergent, namely the collision between the Banggai Sula microcontinent and the Eurasian continent in the East Arm of Sulawesi in the Pliocene (Hall, 2012). The Balantak Fault which is a right-lateral strike-slip fault shown from slickenside observations in the field along the Balantak River is very suitable to be the main fault that develops other structures. The deformation is interpreted as still going on until Recent, which is supported by



Figure 12: *Bedding inclination in Limestone Unit 1 a) Boloak River on the left and b) Boloak River on the right.*

two seismic data from Global CMT (2009) which show seismic activity on the Balantak Fault. This tectonic event is considered as forming a shear zone (Figure 14) along the Balantak River which shows structures including quartz boudinage from quartz veins and brecciation of ultramafic rocks.

Kinematic Analysis of Balantak Fault

The overall regional deformation can be explained by local deformation. At the point where geological structure data is taken, in addition to representation of geological structures in the research area, it will also be used as kinematics analysis that occurs in the research area. The collision between the Ultramafic Unit and other units in the study area originating from the Banggai-Sula microcontinent began in the Late Cenozoic. The geological structure of the study area is in the form of right-lateral strike-slips fault, thrust fold belts, and offset folds on limestone, as well as the Balantak Strike-Slip Fault as a boundary between the Ultramafic Unit and other structurally related rock units. The results of the n fault-slip kinematic analysis (n = total data) apply the Marrett and Allmendinger (1990) method (Sapiie, 2016). Each dominant structure and major fault zone are shown separately. FaultKin analysis was carried out on slickenside data taken along the Balantak Fault.

According to Marrett and Allmendinger (1990) (in Sapiie, 2016), finite strain estimation is required for deformation quantification. Theoretically, finite strain can be calculated using fault-slip data through tensor summation. However, this method requires information on the magnitude of the displacement to calculate the fault slip factors given the weighting of several factors. One approach is to measure the gouge width to estimate displacement, but this method is only applicable if the fault gouge width is fractal. No fault gouge was found in the field that can support this quantitative analysis, so it is necessary to assume that all faults in each segment have the same displacement and that the faults are fractalized through an infinite strain approach. If so, the P and T axes can be used as the basis for adding



Figure 13: *Limestone Unit 1 outcrop showing a folded thrust fault structure.*



Figure 14: *The shear zone of the Ultramafic Unit shows a) boudinage with a right-lateral movement (Fossen, 2010) and b) brecciation.*

weightless tensors by using Bingham statistics that connect the P and T axes to each other (Marret and Allmendinger, 1990; in Sapiie, 2016).

The Marret and Allmendinger method produces a shortening axis (P) and an elongation axis (T) from a population of faults that have the same displacement. The basis for calculating the kinematic axes is through the infinite strain approximation. The shortening (P) and extension (T) axes of heavily contoured data used the method of Kamb (1959) to obtain the distribution and orientation of the principal stresses. The kinematic axes P and T are made by dividing the two perpendicular planes to then obtain the solution of the fit fault plane along with the shear vector and the normal vector of the faults that form 45° angles to each other. (Figure 15).

In analysis, the P and T axes are equivalent to the eigenvectors and the eigenvalues can determine their magnitude (Marrett and Allmendinger, 1990). In the kinematic analysis plot diagram, P and T are equivalent to the eigenvectors and positional. The eigenvectors also define the magnitude of the vector (Marret and Allmendinger, 1990; in Sapiie, 2016). The finite strain



Figure 15: *a) The fault-slip geometry and kinematic coordinate system shows the relationship between the kinematic axes (X1, X2, X3), the fault plane (F), the slip direction (S), and the movement plane (M) where a = fault-pole, m = pole-plane of motion, d = fault. b) The same area projection depicts a graphical representation of the P and T axes where P and T are the main shortening and extension (modified from Marrett and Allmendinger (1990) in Sapiie, 2016).*

axes (e1, e2, e3) are plotted in a Flinn diagram to see variations in the ellipsoid strain formed in the study area. After obtaining the ellipsoid classification, it is possible to determine the type of deformation mechanism by the Balantak Fault.



Figure 16: *The results of the kinematic analysis of fault-slip data (n=total data) using the Marrett and Allmendinger (1990) method. Each data is displayed separately along the Balantak Fault.*

Fault Slip Analysis of Balantak Fault

We can see that all fault plane solutions at three observation points along the Balantak Fault show a right-lateral movement (Figure 16). Using Fautkin's software, 80 fault slickensides have been shown to show a homogeneous kinetic axis in the direction of NW-SE shortening. The values of e1, e2, e3 are finite magnitudes and we can plot them onto a Flinn diagram to see the variation of strain formed at each measurement point (Figure 17).



Figure 17: *Flinn diagram showing the three-dimensional shape of the strain ellipsoid determined from fault-slip data obtained from each area (Fossen, 2010).*

The calculated ellipsoid strain has the form of an oblate strain at each station. Oblate strains are considered to be in a convergent strike-slip system (Sanderson, 1984; Ratcschbacher, et al., 1993; Little, 1996 in Sapiie, 2016). Hall's (2012) tectonic setting considers the Banggai-Sula microplate to come from the southeast. We can calculate the general direction of arrival of the Banggai-Sula microcontinental plate by the average direction of the shortening axis (P) which is considered the greatest stress axis, namely 346.2° or 166.2°, NW-SE shortening (Table 1).

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

The angle between the maximum strain (T) and the shear zone can determine the class of the strain ellipsoid which is calculated in the illustration above (Figure 18). The observation of the results of the data

Table 1. *The method uses FaultKin which produces the relative value of the ellipsoid strain axis magnitude (e1, e2, e3) from the data for each point. pl = plunge, tr = trend.*

Structure Area	P axes (pl, tr)	T axes (pl, tr)	e1	e2	e3
FWR 07	1.5°, 177.2°	24.2°, 267.9°	0.3552	-0.0128	-0.3424
FWR 34	8.7°, 169.7°	25.2°, 263.8°	0.3852	-0.0038	-0.3814
FGW 12	2.4°, 151.7°	18°, 242.5°	0.468	-0.002	-0.47





Figure 18: *The relationship and Wk where is the angle between the maximum horizontal strain (T) and the shearzone (Balantak Fault) based on Fossen and Tikoff (1993).*

analysed indicates that the pure shear component is more dominant than the simple shear with a W_k value of 0.6 which means that it is included in the transpression category (Figure 19).

This explains the formation of many folded thrust faults in almost the entire field because the vertical axis of the ellipsoid will be longer than the displacement distance. The classification of pure shear-dominated transpression is the most suitable ellipsoid strain to describe the deformation shape of the study area (Figure 20).

The results of this analysis match the regional tectonics which is a convergence area. After being analyzed it also shows that the research area is an oblique convergence in the Balantak area because the Banggai-Sula microcontinent is not head on colliding with the East Arm of Sulawesi as in the Batui area. The



Figure 19: *Calculations show that the third point strain has an oblate strain ellipsoid that undergoes transpression with Wk = 0.6 based on Fossen and Tikoff (1993).*

eastern part of Sulawesi has been described by Hall (2012) Banggai-Sula microcontinent coming from the Australian Plate and colliding with the Ultramafic Complex of the East Arm of Sulawesi from the direction southeast in the Pliocene. The structure formed as a result of this collision is the dextral strike-slip of the Balantak Fault by including evolutionary structures such as thrust faults and tearing faults. The similarity of the structural pattern with the model indicates that the research area is interpreted as a wrench tectonic setting (Figure 21).

The research area whose structure is formed by dextral strike slip causes the type of trap formed to be different from the trap type due to ordinary pure shear at the Poh Area. The structure of the study area forms an en echelon thrust and fold trap type. Meanwhile, tear faults, which are synthetic Riedel from the Balantak Fault, form an offset fold trap type (Figure 22).

The Late Miocene to Pliocene collision between the Banggai-Sula microcontinent and the East Arm of Sulawesi deformed the rock intensively. This collision occurred due to the docking process of the microcontinental plate. The docking occurred because the microcontinent oceanic plate that was subducted into the East Arm of Sulawesi has run out. In the study area, the collision occurred in oblique convergence and the Balantak Fault is the boundary between the two plates.

The strike-slip fault movement occurred between Banggai-Sula crystalline rock and Sulawesi East Arm crystalline rock (Ultramafic Unit) and affected the sediments above Banggai-Sula (Limestone Unit 1 and Limestone Unit 2) during the collision period between the Sulawesi East Arm plate



Figure 20: *The orientation and shape of the finite strain ellipsoid in the four classes of transpression/transtension. Balantak is in the form of an ellipsoid strain of pure shear dominated transpression (Fossen, 2010).*



Figure 21: *The geological structure of the study area is juxtaposed with the ideal model of the strain pattern by dextral shear fault movements. (a) Riedel-model where R = synthetic Riedel and R' = antithetic. P-shears are secondary structures associated with the R and R' surfaces. is the internal shear angle. (b) Small-scale structures that can form in shear fault zones. (c) Large-scale structure. (Fossen, 2010).*

and the Banggai-Sula microcontinent which shows the current geological conditions (Figure 23).

CONCLUSION

The pattern of the tear fault close to the main fault of the Balantak Fault resembles the pattern of the minor fault in the classical experiment. The tear fault is interpreted as R (synthetic Riedel fracture). Anticlines, synclines and thrust faults perpendicular to these tear faults are interpreted as concurrent structural features. The main fault is the Balantak Fault on the surface parallel to the primary shift zone in the bedrock. Kinematics analysis revealed that the study area near the Balantak Fault has a structurally uniform domain in character.



Figure 22: *Trap types within the wrench tectonic setting (E&P Geology Forum, 2009).*



Figure 23: *A simple model of the research area as it exists today. Oblique convergence at the Banggai-Sula plate border with the East Sulawesi arm in the Balantak area during the docking process due to the depletion of oceanic crust belonging to the microcontinent.*

The discovery of anticline, syncline, and thrust fault structures in the dextral strike slip system of the Balantak Fault is the background for the kinematics analysis. The results of the study stated that the ellipsoid strain found in the field was a pure shear dominated ellipsoid. This explains that the greatest strain actually occurs vertically so that even in the shear system, folds and thrust faults can be found as in the study area. The type of trap structure due to this arrangement will be slightly different from the usual, namely en echelon thrust fault folds and offset folds.

Further study is needed such as integration with subsurface data to confirm if the structures on the surface is similar with the structures in the subsurface.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express their gratitude for Professor Sapiie, as the supervisor of this final project who has given guidance and provided the support for this study. The author very appreciates the Geodynamics Laboratory and Geological Engineering Study Program Institute of Technology Bandung which has provided facilities to the author for the needs of preparation, data collection, and analysis of this final project. The author also thanks Mr. Wanto Panreli and family, Sub-district Head of Balantak Mr. Chandra and family, the local guides Aga, Ranto, Indra, Dwi, Zilo, and Akbar who were willing to give a lot of help and support during the field data collection process.

REFERENCES

Cottam, A. M., Hall, R., Forster, M.A. and Boudagher-Fadel, M.K., 2011. Basement character and basin formation in Gorontalo Bay, Sulawesi, Indonesia: New observations from the Togian Islands. London: The Geological Society of London.

Davies, I.C., 1990. Geological and exploration review of the Tomori PSC, Eastern Indonesia. Proceeding 19th Annual Convention, Indonesian Petroleum Association, Jakarta.

Ferdian, F., Hall, R. and Watkinson, I., 2010. A structural re-evaluation of the North Banggai-Sula area, Eastern Indonesia. Proceeding 34th Annual Convention, Indonesian Petroleum Association, Jakarta.

Fossen, H., 2010. Structural Geology. Cambridge University Press, New York.

Fossen, H. and Tikoff, B., 1993. The deformation matrix for simultaneous simple shearing, pure shearing, and volume change, and its application to transpression/transtension tectonics. Journal of Structural Geology, 15, 413-422.

Garrard, R.A., Supandjono, J.B. and Surono, 1988. The geology of the Banggai-Sula Microcontinent, Eastern Indonesia. Proceeding 17th Annual Convention, Indonesian Petroleum Association, Indonesia.

Hall, R., 2012. Late Jurassic-Cenozoic reconstruction of the Indonesian Region and the Indian Ocean. Tectonophysics, 570-571, 1-41.

Hamilton, W.B., 1979. Tectonics of the Indonesian Region: Geological Survey Professional Paper 1078. Washington: US Government Printing Office. 65

Hasanusi, D., Adhitiawan, E., Baasir, A., Lisapaly, L. and van Eykenhof, R., 2007. Seismic inversion as an exciting tool to delineate facies distribution in Tiaka Carbonate reservoirs, Sulawesi-Indonesia.

Hasanusi, D. and Wijaya, R., 2012. Carbonate reservoir characterization and its use on reservoir modeling: A case study of Senoro Field. SPWLA 18th Formation Evaluation Symposium of Japan, OnePetro.

Husein, S., Novian, M.I. and Barianto, D.H., 2014. Geological structures and tectonic reconstruction of Luwuk, East Sulawesi. Proceeding 38th Annual Convention, Indonesian Petroleum Association, Jakarta.

Hutchison, C.S., 1975. Ophiolite in southeast Asia. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 86(6), 797-806.

Kadarusman, A., Miyashita, S., Maruyama, S., Parkinson, C. and Ishakawa, A., 2004. Petrology, geochemistry and paleogeographic reconstruction of the East Sulawesi Ophiolite, Indonesia. Tectonophysics, 392 (1-4), 55-83.

Vollmer, F.W., 1995. C program for automatic contouring of spherical orientation data using a modified Kamb method. Computers & Geosciences, 21(1), 31-49.

Katili, J.A., 1973. Geochronology of West Indonesia and its implication on plate tectonics. Tectonophysics, 19(3), 195-212.

Katili, J.A., 1978. Past and present geotectonic position of Sulawesi, Indonesia. Tectonophysics, 45(4), 289-322.

Mubroto, B., Briden, J.C., McClelland, E. and Hall, R., 1994. Palaeomagnetism of the Balantak ophiolite, Sulawesi. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 125(1-4), 193-209.

Nugraha, A.M.S. and Hall, R., 2018. Late Cenozoic palaeogeography of Sulawesi, Indonesia. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 490, 191-209.

Pholbud, P., Hall, R., Advokaat, E., Burgess, P. and Rudyawan, A., 2012. A new interpretation of Gorontalo Bay, Sulawesi. Proceeding 36th Annual Convention, Indonesian Petroleum Association, Jakarta.

Pigram, C.J. and Supandjono, S.J., 1985. Origin of the Sula platform, eastern Indonesia. Geology, 13(4), 246-248.

Rudyawan, A. and Hall, R., 2012. Structural re-assessment of the South Banggai-Sula Area: No Sorong Fault Zone. Proceeding 36th Annual Convention, Indonesian Petroleum Association, Jakarta.

Rusmana, E., Koswara, A. and Simandjuntak, T.O., 1993. Geology of the Luwuk Sheet, Sulawesi 1: 250,000. Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung.

Tjokrosapoetro, S., Budhitrisna, T. and Rusmana, E., 1994. Geological report of the Buru Island Quadrangle, Maluku. Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung, 22 pp.

Sapiie, B., 2016. Kinematic analysis of fault-slip data in the Central Range of Papua, Indonesia. Indonesian Journal on Geoscience, 3(1), 1-16.

Sihombing, T., Barianto, D. and Novian, I., 2011. Stratigraphy of Luwuk and Pagimana area, Central Sulawesi. Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung, 127 pp.

Silver, E. A., 1981. A new tectonic map of Eastern Indonesia. *In*: A.J. Barber and S. Wiryosujono (Eds), Geology and Tectonics of Eastern Indonesia, Spec. Pub. No. 2, p. 343-347, Geological Research and Development Center, Bandung, Indonesia.

Silver, E.A., McCaffrey, R., Joyodiwiryo, Y. and Stevens, S., 1983. Ophiolite emplacement by collision between the Sula Platform and the Sulawesi island arc, Indonesia. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 88(B11), 9419-9435.

Simandjuntak, T.O., 1986. Sedimentology and tectonics of the collision complex in the East Arm of Sulawesi Indonesia. University of London, Royal Holloway and Bedford New College (United Kingdom).

Simandjuntak T.O., 1989. Tunjaman ganda melahirkan jalur gunung api muda di Minahasa. Suara Pembaruan, 16 June 1989.

Sukamto, R. and Simandjuntak, T. O. 1983. Tectonic relationship between geological provinces of Western Sulawesi, Eastern Sulawesi and Banggai-Sula in the light of sedimentological aspects. Bulletin Geological Research and Development Centre, 7, 1-12.

Surono, Simandjuntak T.O. and Situmorang R.L., 1994. Geology of The Batui Sheet, Sulawesi, Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung.

Surono and Sukarna, D., 1995. Sedimentology of the Sulawesi Molasse in relation to the Neogene tectonics. *In*: Proceedings of the 6th International Congress on Pacific Neogene Stratigraphy, an IGGP-355, Serpong, Indonesia.

Taylor, D., 1980. Porphyry-type deposits in Southeast Asia. Granitic Magmatism and Related Mineralization, 95-116.

Watkinson, I. M. and Hall, R. 2016. Fault systems of the eastern Indonesian triple junction: evaluation of Quaternary activity and implications for seismic hazards. The Geological Society of London.

Watkinson, I.M., Hall, R. and Ferdian, F., 2011. Tectonic re-interpretation of the Banggai-Sula–Molucca Sea margin, Indonesia. *In*: R. Hall, M.A. Cottam and M.E.J Wilson (Eds), The SE Asian Gateway: History and Tectonics of the Australia–Asia Collision. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 355, 203–224.